1				
'	Glenn D. Pomerantz (SBN 112503)	Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643)		
2	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	bglackin@agutah.gov OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY		
3	350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 683-9100	<b>GENERAL</b> 160 E 300 S, 5th Floor PO Box 140872		
4	Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576)	Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872 Telephone: (801) 366-0260		
5	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Counsel for Utah		
6	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 Telephone: (415) 442-1000	Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff States		
7				
8	Daniel M. Petrocelli, Bar No. 97802 dpetrocelli@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP			
9	1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035			
10	Telephone: (310) 553-6700			
11	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.			
12	[Additional counsel appear on signature page]			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15 16		SCO DIVISION		
15 16 17	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD		
16 17	SAN FRANCIS	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
16 17 18	SAN FRANCIS	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD		
16 17 18 19	SAN FRANCIS IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING AMENDED		
16 17 18 19 20	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING AMENDED ANSWER TO STATE PLAINTIFFS'		
116 117 118 119 20 21	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING AMENDED ANSWER TO STATE PLAINTIFFS'		
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING AMENDED ANSWER TO STATE PLAINTIFFS'		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING AMENDED ANSWER TO STATE PLAINTIFFS'		
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING AMENDED ANSWER TO STATE PLAINTIFFS'		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE FILING AMENDED ANSWER TO STATE PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

- 1			
1	WHEREAS, State Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint ("FAC") (3:21-cv-		
2	05227-JD ECF No. 188) on November 1, 2021;		
3	WHEREAS, Defendants ("Google") filed their Answer to State Plaintiffs' FAC (3:21-cv-		
4	05227-JD ECF No. 191) on November 15, 2021;		
5	WHEREAS, the Court entered the Scheduling Order on October 22, 2021 (3:21-md-		
6	02981-JD ECF No. 122) which set a deadline for amending pleadings on December 3, 2021, and		
7	an Amended Scheduling Order (3:21-md-02981-JD ECF No. 191) on February 2, 2022 stating tha		
8	the deadline to amend pleadings was "closed";		
9	WHEREAS, Google wishes to correct a discrepancy between the numbered responses in		
10	its Answer and the corresponding numbered allegations in State Plaintiffs' FAC;		
11	WHEREAS, Google believes that there is good cause to amend its Answer;		
12	WHEREAS, Google and State Plaintiffs are not aware of any prejudice that would result to		
13	any party in the above-captioned actions if Google were permitted to amend its Answer;		
14	WHEREAS, the State Plaintiffs do not oppose this amendment;		
15	WHEREAS, Google's proposed corrections are reflected in the attached Exhibit 1, and can		
16	be seen between paragraphs 256-258.		
17	NOW, THEREFORE, the Defendants and State Plaintiffs hereby stipulate and agree,		
18	subject to the Court's approval, as follows:		
19	1. There is good cause to permit Google to amend its Answer to State Plaintiffs' FAC		
20	as reflected in Exhibit 1 attached hereto;		
21	2. Google's request to amend its Answer (3:21-cv-05227-JD ECF No. 191) to State		
22	Plaintiffs' FAC (3:21-cv-05227-JD ECF No. 188) in accordance with Exhibit 1		
23	should be, and upon the Court's signature is hereby, granted;		
24			
25	-2- Case Nos. 3:21-md-02981: 3:21-cv-05227-JI		

3. Upon the Court's signature, Google will file its amended answer, in accordance with Exhibit 1, on the docket as a separate ECF entry. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Case Nos. 3:21-md-02981; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

1	DATED: March 22, 2022	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		
2		Brian C. Rocca Sujal J. Shah		
3		Michelle Park Chiu Minna L. Naranjo		
		Rishi P. Satia		
4		Respectfully submitted,		
5				
6		By: /s/ Brian C. Rocca Brian C. Rocca		
7		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.		
8				
9	DATED: March 22, 2022	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Daniel M. Petrocelli		
		Ian Simmons		
10		Benjamin G. Bradshaw		
11		Stephen J. McIntyre		
12		Respectfully submitted,		
40		Pvi (/P + IP + II)		
13		By: /s/ Daniel Petrocelli Daniel M. Petrocelli		
14		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.		
15				
16	DATED: March 22, 2022	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP Glenn D. Pomerantz		
		Kyle W. Mach		
17		Kuruvilla Olasa		
18		Justin P. Raphael Emily C. Curran-Huberty		
		Jonathan I. Kravis		
19		Respectfully submitted,		
20		The state of the s		
21		By: /s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz		
22		Glenn D. Pomerantz  Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.		
23				
24				
25		-4- Case Nos. 3:21-md-02981; 3:21-cv-05227-JD		
	STIPUI	LATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE FILING AMENDED ANSWER TO STATE PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

## Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 201 Filed 03/22/22 Page 5 of 7

Dated: March 22, 2022	GEN	OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL Brendan P. Glackin		
	Resp	ectfully s	ubmitted,	
	By:			
		Counsel	for Utah	
	-5-		Case Nos. 3:21-md-0298	31: 3:21-cv-05227-ID
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE				
	STIPU	GEN B Resp By:	GENERAL Brendan P. Respectfully st By: /s/ Bren Brendan Counsel	GENERAL Brendan P. Glackin Respectfully submitted, By: /s/ Brendan P. Glackin General P. Glackin Counsel for Utah  Counsel for Utah

**E-FILING ATTESTATION** I, Kuruvilla Olasa, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing. /s/ Kuruvilla Olasa Kuruvilla Olasa Case Nos. 3:21-md-02981; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2	2		
3	DATED:		
4	1		
5		. JAMES DONATO	
6	U.S.	District Judge	
7	7		
8	3		
9			
10			
11			
12	2		
13	3		
14	1		
15	5		
16	5		
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20			
21			
22	2		
23	3		
24	1		
25	5	Case Nos. 3:21-md-02981; 3:21-cv-05227-JD	